

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,732	EGUCHI, MASARU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jordan M. Schwartz	2873	

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(2) William Boshnick.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The change to the abstract, as set forth in the attached examiner's amendment, was discussed and agreed upon to have the abstract in compliance with the MPEP. Specifically, the original abstract exceeded 150 words and was therefore too long.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)